THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, GENERAL DEPARTMENT (Mr. J. Appaji Gowda).—

A.—(*a*), (*b*) and (*c*) A statement furnishing the information is appended. (*Vide* Appendix).

(*d*) No scholarship seems to have been granted during the current year. The matter is under correspondence.

MR. DODDALINGA NAIK (Hiriyur—Rural).—

Q.—132. Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the estimated amount of the drainage scheme in Hiriyur town which has been sanctioned; the year in which it was sanctioned;

(*b*) the department through which and the year in which the work was undertaken; the extent of work turned out every year;

(*c*) whether it has come to their notice that the work has been completely stopped in the year 1940-41; the reason for stopping it; when the work will be completed;

(*d*) when, prior to this, the drainage scheme costing Rs. 29,000 was undertaken and through which department;

(*e*) the year in which the work was completed;

(*f*) whether it has come to their notice that the final bill stands unpaid since 5 or 6 years;

(*g*) the reason for keeping the bill unpaid; whether arrangements will be made to kindly adjust the bill?

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, LAW DEPARTMENT (Mr. H. V. Narayana Rao).—

A.—(*a*) The estimate for Rs. 4,915 was sanctioned by the Government on 26th April 1939.

(*b*) The work was undertaken by the Bureau of Sanitary Engineering in the year 1939-40. The extent of work turned out during the year 1939-40 and 1940-41 is Rs. 2,686 and Rs. 665 respectively.

(*c*) No. Work to the extent of Rs. 565 was turned out during 1940-41. The only remaining work to be done is the construction of the sedimentation tanks and this has been undertaken now.

(*d*) In the year 1931. But the municipal agency under the supervision of the local Assistant Engineer of the Public Works Department.

(*e*) 1939.

(*f*) The final bill was prepared on 31st August 1939.

(*g*) The delay is due to the correspondence between the Public Works Department and the Hiriyur Minor Municipal Council. This municipal council which has to pay the bill had not sufficient funds at its credit last year. Provision has been made in the current year's budget of this municipality and the amount will be paid this year.

MR. B. M, MUNISAMAPPA (Bangalore—Rural).—

Q.—134. Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the number of Government officials in service who were getting salaries of Rs. 100 and above, when the Central Recruitment Board was started (particulars to be given according to community and department);

(*b*) how many people had secured Government appointments according to the population of their community;

(*c*) the number of Government officials who were getting a pay of Rs. 25 and above (particulars to be given according to community and department);

(*d*) the number of officials belonging to different communities who were in service as it stood at the end of 30th June 1941; the percentage of officials in service according to their community (particulars to be given according to community);

(*e*) the number of officials in service who are now getting a salary of Rs. 25 and above (particulars to be given according to community and department)?

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, GENERAL DEPARTMENT (Mr. J. Appaji Gowda).—

A.—(*a*) to (*c*) Information according to departments is not available for the specific period indicated. But, figures available for 1918 (printed as an annexure to Government Order No. 1827-80/ E.A.G. 308, dated 16th May 1921 on the Miller Committee Report) are placed on the table. (Statement I). (*Vide* Appendix).

(*d*) Information is not readily available for the period specified. Figures available for the year ending 30th June 1940 are placed on the table (Statement II). (*Vide* appendix).

(*e*) Information is not readily available for the period specified. Figures available for the year ending 30th June 1940 are placed on the table (Statement III). (*Vide* Appendix).

MR. HONNASIDDIAH (Magadi—Rural).—

Q.—137. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) the scale of amount paid to Mr. Rangiah, the temple priest for 'Paditara' purpose in the Sree Ranganatha Temple, Dodda Mudigere Village, Thippasandra Hobli, Magadi Taluk;

(*b*) whether he has been paid according to that scale since 1921;

(*c*) if so, which are those months and years;

(*d*) the amount paid and the amount due to him;

(*e*) in how many years since 1921, has the amount been withheld;

(*f*) the reason for withholding the same;

(*g*) how many times has the priest asked for the same through petitions; what is the reply given by Government in this behalf?

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, GENERAL DEPARTMENT (Mr. J. Appaji Gowda).—

A.—(*a*) Rs. 6 per mensem.

(*b*) and (*c*) He was not paid according to this scale before February 1921 and October 1934.

(*d*) and (*e*) No amount was paid for the period mentioned above nor is any amount due.

(*f*) The Archak's claim was rejected as it was belated and could not be verified.

(*g*) Three times from 1931 to 1934. Final orders of Government were passed on 15th October 1935, rejecting his claims for arrears of Paditara and sanctioning only arrears of pay amounting to Rs. 1,950.